

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

0000	7835
EXAMINER	L .
PASCHALL, MA	RK H
VIT	PAPER NUMBER
?	<del></del>
DELIVERY MO	)DE
_	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		<b>\( \frac{\frac{1}{3}}{3} \)</b>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/564,170	KRINK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mark H. Paschall	3742
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ippears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a record will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  JANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	January 2007.	·
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ The	nis action is non-final.	,
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application	on.	•
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-8,10,11,13-18,20,21</u> is/are re	ejected.	
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,9,12,19 and 22-37</u> is/are objected	d to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	pplication No
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the pr</li></ol>	iority documents have been	received in this National Stage
application from the International Bure		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not	received.
	•	
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Ir	nformal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	<u>_</u> .

Art Unit: 3742

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4,6-8,10-11,13-18,20,21,23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Picard et al (6,359,251).

Note column 13 paragraph 3 in Picard et al which teaches volume control via pressure sensing feedback via volume flow control PFC valve, as acclaimed. Picard et al teach multiple gases as claimed via PFC controllers, as per claim 2.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 01-09-2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The claim rejection states that the Picard et al patent teaches proportional flow control valves and uses pressure sensing to control these valves.

Barring further description of the same in the claims, the pressure sensing in Picard et al does produce a current which controls the valves and it is submitted that the opening and closing of these valves does control the total volume of gas passing through these

Art Unit: 3742

valves, thus meeting the present claim limitations. The operation of these valves does control the total volume of gas through the torch, also. Applicants claims do not define volume flow control relative to pressure flow control and barring further description of the same in the claim limitations, the pressures sensing of Picard et al and the subsequent regulation of the flow valves would clearly lead to control of the volume and the total volume of gas passing through the torch, using one gas.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5,9,12,19,22-37 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3742

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark H. Paschall whose telephone number is 571 272-4784. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am - 3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Mark H Paschall **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 3742

Mр